

Growth, Development and the Future of City-County Separation

ZACHARY SPICER





The town has ting'd the country; and the stain
Appears a spot upon a vestal's robe,
The worse for what it soils. The fashion runs
Down into scenes still rural; but alas,
Scenes rarely grac'd with rural manners now!
(William Cowper's "The Task" 553-7)



THE BOUNDARY BARGAIN:

Development is pushed towards the separated city, allowing rural areas to remain rural and urban areas to grow as they please

THE BOUNDARY BARGAIN:

Development is pushed towards the separated city, allowing rural areas to remain rural and urban areas to grow as they please*

*Pursue density

Baldwin Act [1849]
Regional Government [1960s, 70s]
County Reform [1980s]
Consolidation [1990s]

[INSTITUTIONS THAT SEPARATE]

Baldwin Act [1849]

Regional Government [1960s, 70s]

County Reform [1980s]

Consolidation [1990s]

[INSTITUTIONS THAT LINK]

Barrie Belleville Brockville

Cornwall Gananoque

Guelph Kingston

London Orillia

Pelee

Township Pembroke Peterborough

Prescott Quinte West

Smiths Falls Stratford St.

Mary's St. Thomas

Windsor

- 1. Why do we still have separated cities?
- 2. What is the impact of the continued use of city-county separation?
- 3. What are the alternative governance arrangements?
- 4.Is urban/rural still a "pervasive binary" in political science and local government research?

London + Middlesex County

Guelph + Wellington County

Barrie + Simcoe County

London-Middlesex

- Growth around the city's periphery has caused tension between city and county officials
- County has sought to create an alternative to city living outside London's borders
- London officials have refused to cooperate on service extension
- County officials no longer content to remain rural

Guelph-Wellington

- City + County able to agree on urban expansion
- County officials want to remain rural, content to see Guelph grow within borders
- Unclear if situation would change with increased growth pressure
- County has demonstrated belief that City is not sufficiently committed to density

Barrie-Simcoe

- Subject to a great deal of provincial attention
- Tremendous growth, unevenly distributed
- Fast growing south, slow growing north
- New, development-focused politicians in southern Simcoe county have resisted Barrie's growth plans

- -Growth viewed much differently in rural areas
- -Urbanization now a directed process
- -Growth adopted on "rural terms"

Cultural shift in understanding of urban/rural

City-County Separation is an Enduring Institution

- Difficult to re-integrate cities back into counties
- Representation imbalance too great to overcome

City-County Separation as Stalemate

- Province has allowed rounds of urban annexation
- At the same time, amalgamation created larger (once rural) neighbours + stronger political actors
- Limited ability to expand

Rigid institutions, shift in rural/urban values, and perception of growth have established competition for growth in areas that were designed to have none

An unenviable position....

- -Hard to annex + preserve status quo
- -Challenging to establish common institutions
- -No growth consensus

Easiest – Informal/Inter-Local CooperationMiddling – Special Districts/Transfer FunctionsHardest – Single-Tier/Two-Tier Restructuring

No "one size fits all" solution

- -In some cases, city-county separation still works well
- -Where it does not, reform can be achieved

Questions/Comments?

Zachary Spicer
@ZacSpicer
zspicer@brocku.ca

