The Role of Local Government in Regional/Local Economic Development

Enid Slack
Institute on Municipal Finance and Governance,
University of Toronto

International Workshop on Local Public Finance and Governance Hohhot, Inner Mongolia, China August 2, 2005



- Defining a role for local governments in economic development
- Implications for local finance and governance
- Role of tax incentives in attracting businesses
- Two case studies: tax increment financing (U.S.) and urban development agreements (Canada)



Role for Local Governments in Economic Development - Background

- Cities are key to economic growth
- New knowledge-based economy
- Agglomeration economies (benefits of cities)
- Emphasis of economic development has shifted from cost advantage to quality of life factors



Role for Local Governments in Economic Development

- To provide goods and services within geographic boundaries
- To provide infrastructure ("hard" and "soft")
- Land use planning; local by-laws that affect living and working conditions



- Need access to sufficient fiscal resources
- Need to price services correctly
- Local economic development policies need to be organized on a regional basis
- Need to coordinate marketing programs on a regional basis

Designed to increase the size of the fiscal base

 Non-residential properties can result in net fiscal benefits under the property tax – bring in more revenues than use in services

To calculate net fiscal benefits, need to consider:

- Net fiscal impact of households
- Where costs and benefits occur
- Excess capacity in infrastructure

- Effectiveness depends on size of differential between competing communities and if differential is sufficient to offset other costs or market factors
- Tax differentials more important within regions than between regions

 Can lead to deterioration of tax base and low levels of service

 May be wasted on firms that would have located in the municipality anyway

Unfair competition among businesses

Tax Increment Financing (U.S.)

 Used by cities in more than 40 U.S. states for revitalization and to finance infrastructure

 Stimulates private investment in downtown cores of cities that are need of revitalization



- Determine geographic boundaries of TIF district
- Freeze property taxes at prerevitalization levels
- Incremental tax accrues to redevelopment for 15 to 35 years
- Issue TIF bonds against incremental taxes



- Advantages:
 - Can assist revitalization
 - No tax bonusing
- Disadvantages:
 - May not generate sufficient funds
 - Development may have occurred anyway
 - Taxing authorities resent lack of access to increment

Urban Development Agreements (Canada)

- Generally used in neighbourhoods in need of revitalization
- Agreements among all orders of government
- Needed to coordinate activities across governments and between ministries within governments

Urban Development Agreements

- Example from Vancouver, Canada
- Downtown Eastside (DTE) neighbourhood
- 12 federal departments, 19 provincial ministries, 14 municipal departments, city police department, coastal health authority
- Model of collaboration

Urban Development Agreements

Goals:

- Increase economic development in DTE
- Improve health outcomes of residents
- Increase public safety

Strategies:

- Economic development and job creation
- Dismantle drug scene
- Turn problem hotels into safe places to live
- Make community safer for vulnerable population

Urban Development Agreements

- Collaboration and coordination for complex problems
- Move away from "one size fits all" approach to cities
- Need to set clear objectives/measurable outcomes
- Need sustainable funding
- Need community participation

Conclusions

- Changing economy means changing economic development focus
- Local governments should focus on infrastructure and services
- Need to get the prices right
- Need economic development policies on a region-wide basis
- Need cooperation and collaboration among governments